

United States Patent and Trademark Office

2

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,856	03/29/2004	Ganjiang Feng	839-1055	9113
	7590 07/16/200 NDERHYE P.C.	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	BALDWIN, GORDON		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
		•		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/810,856	FENG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gordon R. Baldwin	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communicatio	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	e practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-17</u> is/are	pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-17</u> is/are	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-17</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
dee the attached detailed office action for a list of the definied depice flot received.							
			·				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTC 242	. 4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	,		nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,856

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 8-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dardi (Pat. No. 4,339,509) and further in view of Strangman (Pat. No. 4,743,514).

Consider claims 1-6 and 8-17, Dardi teaches protective coating for gas turbine engines with a composition that forms the inner layer or the bond coating for a thermal barrier coating with the bond coat applied to the substrate and a oxide ceramic/metallic layer is applied over the bond coating. (Col. 2 lines 5-20) Dardi also teaches that the composition of the bond coat can consist of 5-35% Co; 10-35% Cr; 5-15- Al; 0-12% Si; and 0-5% Y with a balance of Ni considered to be within the range of 1-80%. Dardi does not teach that Cobalt can be in the range of 1-3%.

However, Strangman teaches a coating for gas turbine components with a composition of 15-35% Cr; 8-20%Al; .1-1.5% Si; 0-1% Y; balance of Ni; with cobalt in the range of 0-10%. (Col. 2 lines 13-65) But Strangman does not specifically use this coating as part of a TBC system, it is considered to be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply the ceramic/metallic oxide layer of Dardi over the coating taught by Stangman to provide for a coating with greater elevated

Application/Control Number: 10/810,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

temperature corrosion resistance. (Col. 2 lines 17-32 and Col. 3 lines 23-52) It is also considered additionally obvious since the percentages of the Dardi and the Strangman reference are very close to one another.

Additionally, Dardi and Strangman and the claims differ in that Dardi and Strangman do not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims.

However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the compositional proportions taught by Dardi and Strangman overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that;

"The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003).

Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1775

Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

As for the teaching of cobalt in Strangman, while several of the examples do teach that only a trace amount of cobalt is used, in the abstract as well as in the summary of the invention, the coating for a superalloy turbine component can contain a cobalt ingredient in the range of 0-10%. By this teaching, the range of cobalt claimed by the applicant is considered to be met by the teaching of Strangeman.

As for the teaching of the use of tantalum by the Strangeman reference, according the MPEP 2111.03, "The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the specified materials or steps "and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristic(s)" of the claimed invention. *In re Herz*, 537 F.2d 549, 551-52, 190 USPQ 461, 463 (CCPA 1976) " While the applicant does argue that the use of tantalum would be deleterious to the strength of the coating, there is no mention in the specification that such levels of tantalum would be unwanted nor that they would cause unwanted hardness and embrittlement. Additionally, while the applicant has sent in photographic evidence of what may be considered to be unwanted TaSi and TaTiSi intermetallic phases, this evidence is not in proper affidavit 1.132 form and therefore is not going to be considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,856 Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gordon R. Baldwin whose telephone number is

(571)272-5166. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GRB

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7(417